ORIGINAL ARTICLE IN PSYCHOLOGY AND GYNECOLOGY

## Emotional Intelligence (EI) and Quality of Life (QoL) in perimenopausal women: A cross-sectional, community-based study from Ghana

Esther ADDAE<sup>1</sup>, Joseph K. OFOSUHENE-MENSAH<sup>2</sup>

#### Affiliations:

<sup>1</sup> Postgraduate, Clinical Health Psychology, University of Cape Coast, Ghana

<sup>2</sup> Lecturer, Department of Education and Psychology, University of Cape Coast, Ghana

Corresponding author:

Esther Addae, University of Cape Coast. E-mail: eaddai8@gmail.com

#### Abstract

**Introduction:** The purpose of this study is to assess the influence of emotional intelligence (EI) on the quality of life (QoL) in menopausal women. The study further examines the moderating role of socio-demographic variables in the relationship between EI and QoL.

**Methods:** This cross-sectional study, employed a multi-stage sampling technique to sample 260 perimenopausal women from the Kumasi Metropolis for the study. The Menopause-specific Quality of life Questionnaire and Trait Emotional Intelligence Questionnaire were used to collect data after the validation of the instrument. The World Health Organization's definition for menopause was used as the base for the classification of menopausal status. Menopausal women with chronic physical and mental health conditions were excluded. Analyses were done using multivariate regression and HAYES process.

**Results**: The mean age of participants was 48.9, SD of 3.9. Of the perimenopausal women 65.8% had a basic education and 38.5% of them were married. Our study found a significant relationship between EI and QoL (Wilks'Lambda=.97, F(4, 249) = 12.19, p = .007). Specifically, EI positively predicted the psychosocial dimension of QoL. Further analysis revealed that marital status ('single', 'married', 'divorced' and 'deceased') and educational level ('basic', 'secondary' and 'tertiary level') did not significantly moderate the relationship between EI and QoL. Age, however, significantly moderated the relationship between EI and the psychosocial dimension of QoL, (b = 0.92, *CI95*% 0.02 to 1.78).

**Conclusion:** EI positively predicts psychosocial aspect of QoL in Ghanaian women during menopause. Thus, menopausal women with high level of emotional intelligence (EI) are less likely to experience some psychosocial menopause-related issues. Strategies to improve emotional intelligence of women should be put in place in Ghana and other sub-Saharan countries to help women manage negative outcomes of the menopause transition for improving their QoL.

KEY WORDS: Menopause; emotional intelligence; Ghana; Quality of Life; women.

Journal of Health and Social Sciences 2021; 6,1:91-102 The Italian Journal for Interdisciplinary Health and Social Development

## INTRODUCTION

Menopause occurs when ovarian follicular function losses its purpose resulting in a halt of menstrual period in 12 consecutive months, thereby preventing the woman from becoming pregnant naturally [1]. The menopause transition either occurs naturally or because of medical interferences such as disease, surgery, or chemotherapy [2, 3]. The age range for the occurrence of menopause is not the same globally, even though the transition is universal [4]. Dieting, lifestyle, and environmental differences may account for the age variability of this physiological process within and among women [5]. During menopause, physical, psychosocial, and other social changes may occur, thus affecting these middle-aged women. Changes during menopause include disturbance in sleep patterns, problems with menstrual flow, and changes in vaginal functions resulting in diminished interest for sexual activity, mood swings, hot flushes and night sweat [6]. Osteoporosis and higher heart disease risk have been described, which may affect psychosocial functioning of menopausal and post-menopausal women, as well as their Quality of Life (QoL) [7]. In case of certain physical health complications, the psychosocial consequences may seriously affect their daily living activities, by compromising the QoL [8-10]. QoL has been defined by the World Health Organization as the "individuals' perceptions of their position in life in the context of the cultural and value systems in which they live and in relation to their goals, expectations, standards, and concerns" [11]. In general, scholars have found that the most significant changes in these women concerned the physical and psychosocial domains of the QoL [12]. However, some studies reported no adverse changes in QoL among menopausal women [13]. The changes associated with menopause can be a barrier to the accomplishment of the Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 3, whose target is safeguarding 'healthy lives and encourage well-being for all at all ages' [14]. Some medical and psychosocial interventions have been put in place to fight the negative outcomes associated with menopause, yet their effectiveness has been proved only for some limited aspects such as the physical,

#### **TAKE-HOME MESSAGE**

Emotional intelligence is positively related to psychosocial aspect of quality of life in perimenopausal women in Ghana.

#### Competing interests - none declared

Copyright © 2021 Esther Addae et al. Edizioni FS Publishers This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. See http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

**Cite this article as:** Addae E, Ofosuhene-Mensah JK. Emotional Intelligence (EI) and Quality of Life (QoL) in perimenopausal women: A cross-sectional, community-based study from Ghana. J Health Soc Sci. 2021;6(1):91-102

DOI 10.19204/2021/emtn8

Received: 05/07/2020

Accepted: 10/02/2021

Published Online: 15/02/2021

vasomotor, and sexual ones [15, 16]. Emotional Intelligence (EI) is a well-known construct of positive psychology that has been associated with a variety of individual and social resources, such as resilience, positive self-evaluation, and social support [17]. Only a few studies have shown the positive impact of EI on menopausal women's QoL [18–20], but only on physical, psychological, and social domains of QoL [20]. Furthermore, previous studies on this issue were carried out in clinical settings (i.e., hospitals or clinics). Unfortunately, in Ghana women with menopause-associated problems present to the hospital very rarely. For this reason, community-based studies on this topic in Sub-Saharan countries could be useful. Some demographic characteristics including age, marital status and level of education, tend to influence the QoL of menopausal women. Indeed, the onset of menopause at an earlier age tend to affect QoL more negatively [21], whereas women who are married and with higher levels of education tend to cope better with menopausal-related symptoms, thereby having a better QoL [22, 23]. Some studies showed different levels of emotional intelligence with regards to age, marital status, and level of education. More specifically, EI increases with age [24], being married [25] and having high educational levels [26]. Therefore, this study aimed to analyze how EI can affect QoL, and the moderating role of age, marital status, and educational level on this relationship, in menopausal women living in an urban area of Ghana.

#### **METHODS**

#### Study design and population

A cross-sectional design was used for this study. The target population was all perimenopausal women (aged 40-60) living in Kumasi Metropolis, the Ashanti Region of Ghana. 'Santasi' community was randomly selected from a group of cities located in the Kumasi Metropolis, because it is a good representation of the cities from this region, as 'Santasi' is a multi-ethnic and multi-cultural community with people from varied socioeconomic background. The study was carried out from February to April 2019.

## Study sampling and procedures

A multi-stage sampling technique was employed, with a combination of simple random sampling and convenient sampling techniques. Criteria of inclusion for study participants were: All peri-menopausal (with the absence of menstrual flow 6-12 months) women (aged 40-60) from the study area. Criteria of exclusion were: Women with medical disorders (e.g., diabetes, hypertension, cardiac disease, and thyroid disorders), women affected by psychological or psychiatric disorders, and those who did not give their consent to participate in the research. Criteria for inclusion/exclusion and sampling procedures were drawn from previous research on this topic [27]. In this study, the sample size used was calculated by using the following formula: N =  $4pq/1^2$  [28].

Where p = proportion in the population processing the characteristic of interest

q = (p-1) and 1 = acceptable error

Taking 80% prevalence of vasomotor symptom [29] with acceptable error of 5% at 95% confidence interval (CI), the sample 240 was achieved. 10% of non-respondents, was considered. 264 was the actual sample size used.

## Study instruments and measures

In this study, emotional intelligence was the independent variable; quality of life was the dependent variable. Age, marital status, and educational level were moderating variables.

## Quality of life

Menopause-specific Quality of Life Questionnaire (MENQoL), which was developed and validated by Hilditch et al. [30] was adopted in this study. MENQoL is a standardised questionnaire which comprises 29 items. This tool is a multi-dimensional scale with 4 sub-dimensions, i.e., vasomotor (items 1-3), psychosocial (items 4-10), physical (items 11-26) and sexual (items 27-29). The questionnaire was divided into two folds; the first part of the items indicated the presence or absence of the symptom (YES/ NO answer). Afterwards, those with 'YES' responses rated the degree of symptoms on a point scale from '1' ('not bothersome') to '6' ('extremely bothersome') and items with 'NO' response were given zero on the bothersome scale. Technically, the first part of the scale operated on a dichotomous response (i.e., 'YES' or 'NO') with a reliability estimate of .81. The second half of the scale was on an ordinal basis with responses ranging from 1-6, as earlier mentioned. The final score for each domain was given by the arithmetic mean of the item scores forming that domain. Classification of symptoms as mild, moderate or severe was calculated with the following scores: mild (1-2), moderate (3-4) and severe (5-6). Women with mild severity were considered as having a high QoL, moderate severity as having an average/moderate QoL and those with severe symptom severity were considered as having a low QoL [12]. Reliability for the overall questionnaire was .86 and .66, .52, .73, and .60 for vasomotor, physical, psychosocial and sexual domains, respectively, using Cronbach Alpha.

#### Emotional intelligence

The Trait Emotional Intelligence Questionnaire Short-form (TEIQue-SF), which was developed and validated by Pertrides and Furnham [31], was adopted in this study. The scale was validated using confirmatory factor analysis before it was used for this study. TEI-Que-SF is a 30 items questionnaire, covering four domains, such as emotionality, wellbeing, sociability, and self-control, where each item is rated with a Likert system from '1' ('disagree completely') to '7' ('agree completely'). The scores from each item are added up and divided by the number of items on the instrument to get a score for each participant. The final scores (means of mean) were categorized as low EI (1.0-3.9), average EI (4.0-5.9) and high EI (6.0-7.0). The Cronbach Alpha for the study was .73, thus indicating a good reliability score.

#### Ethical aspects

Ethical clearance (ref. CES-ERB/UCC/ EDU/V3/19-12) was taken from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the University of Cape Coast. A consent form was signed by each participant before the study. Confidentiality, anonymity, the privacy of respondent and responses were considered.

#### Data analysis

The analysis of data was done after the normality assumptions have been met. Q-Q plot was used to check for normality. Multivariate multiple regression was carried out for studying the impact of EI on QoL, while the moderating role of socio-demographic factors was investigated through moderation analysis using HAYES process. For the moderation analysis, 5,000 bootstrap samples were used. P < 0.05 was considered as significant.

## RESULTS

Socio-demographic characteristics

Majority of the enrolled participants participated in this study. The final sample size of 260 was used after 4 participants opted out of the study. The mean age of our study sample was 48.9, SD of 3.9. 38.5% of respondents were married and 65.8% of them reported having basic education.

The results in Table 2 showed a moderate/average level of quality of life in the psychosocial, vasomotor, physical, and sexual domain. Menopausal women had a low emotional intelligence level. Therefore, based on our results, menopausal women in our sample had a low emotional intelligence level with an average quality of life.

# $H_1$ : Emotional intelligence is positively and significantly related to the menopause-specific quality of life

The results in Table 3 showed a statistically significant relationship between EI and QoL (Wilks' Lambda = .97, F(4, 249) = 12.19, P = .007).

As shown in Table 4, emotional intelligence positively and significantly predicted psycho-

social dimension of QoL (b = .303, t = 1.9, P = .005), i.e., when emotional intelligence is increased by 1 unit, psychosocial dimension of quality of life will increase by .30.

## $H_2$ : Marital status significantly moderates the relationship between emotional intelligence and quality of life of menopausal women

As indicated in Table 5, marital status did not significantly moderate the relation between EI and the psychosocial dimension of QoL. Similar results were also found for the psychosocial, physical, and sexual dimensions of QoL.

## $H_3$ : Educational level significantly moderates the relationship between emotional intelligence and quality of life of menopausal women.

The results in Table 6 indicate that level of education is not a significant moderator in the relationship between EI and all the aspects of menopause specific QoL.

## $H_{4}$ : Age significantly moderates the relationship between emotional intelligence and quality of life of menopausal women.

As shown in Table 7, age does not moderate the relationship between EI and the physical, vasomotor, and sexual dimensions of QoL. For psychosocial domain, our results reveal that interaction between the 55 - 61 years age group (moderator) and emotional intelligence (predictor variable) is a significant predictor of psychosocial dimension of quality of life (b = 0.92, 95% Confidential Interval (CI) from 0.02 to 1.78). Therefore, any additional increase in emotional intelligence will lead to extra 0.92 of (psychosocial) quality of life for women between 55- 61 years more than for women between 40-45years. Therefore, as EI increases, the psychosocial dimension of QoL increases as well, but this does happen particularly in 55-61 aged women.

Figure 1 shows a positive relationship between EI and the psychosocial dimension of QoL

among participants in the 55 - 61 years age group. Therefore, as emotional intelligence of women from this age group increases, their level of the psychosocial dimension of quality of life increases as well. However, women aged 40 - 45 years shows a negative relationship between EI and the psychosocial dimension of QoL. Therefore, as their EI increases, their psychosocial quality of life decreases.

## DISCUSSION

Our study found a moderate severity of menopausal experience among women with regards to all four dimensions of quality of life (psychosocial, vasomotor, physical, and sexual domains). In our study sample, menopausal women, furthermore, reported overall a low emotional intelligence level. This study showed that menopausal women had an average moderate level in all domains of QoL, in agreement with previous studies confirming a decrease in QoL of menopausal women [10, 33]. Also, Nisar and Sohoo [34] found in Ghana in menopausal women a decrease in QoL, despite their study was conducted in a rural setting, differently from the current study. In this research, emotional intelligence was found to be low among menopausal women. This finding agrees with previous studies conducted with the same type of sample [35, 36]. However, few studies found opposite results [37–39]. In our study, a significant relationship was found between EI and psychosocial aspect of QoL, as confirmed by [21], but in contrast with Al-Huwailah's research [40]. In our study, however, women had higher level of education than that reported in other studies [41, 42], and this could be the main reason of this difference.

Marital status was found not to moderate the relationship between emotional intelligence and QoL, as showed by past studies that revealed no relationship between emotional intelligence and marital status [43–45]. In literature, the relationship between EI and educational level was absent as in our study [26, 46]. Only Rahim and Malik [47] showed opposite results.

The moderation analysis showed no significant

#### Journal of Health and Social Sciences 2021; 6,1:91-102

The Italian Journal for Interdisciplinary Health and Social Development

#### **Table 1.** Socio-demographic characteristics of participants (n = 260).

| Variables         | No. | Percentage |
|-------------------|-----|------------|
| Age (years)       |     |            |
| 40-45             | 34  | 13.1       |
| 46-54             | 128 | 49.2       |
| 55-60             | 98  | 37.7       |
| Marital status    |     |            |
| Single            | 13  | 5.0        |
| Married           | 100 | 38.5       |
| Divorced          | 63  | 24.2       |
| Widow             | 84  | 32.3       |
| Educational level |     |            |
| Basic             | 171 | 65.8       |
| Secondary         | 74  | 28.5       |
| Tertiary          | 15  | 5.7        |

#### **Table 2.** Emotional Intelligence and quality of life levels in study participants (n = 260).

| Items                  | Mean values | Std.<br>Deviation | Max. | Min. |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------------|------|------|
| Vasomotor              | 3.60        | 0.87              | 3.84 | 3.60 |
| Psychosocial           | 4.05        | 0.71              | 4.51 | 3.18 |
| Physical               | 3.96        | 0.41              | 4.22 | 3.30 |
| Sexual                 | 3.38        | 0.73              | 3.64 | 3.32 |
| Emotional intelligence | 3.77        | 0.42              | 4.26 | 3.30 |

Table 3. Multivariate Tests of the relationship between EI and QoL.

| Effect    |                    | Value | F     | Df  | Error df | Sig. |
|-----------|--------------------|-------|-------|-----|----------|------|
| Intercept | Pillai's Trace     | .264  | 22.3  | 4.0 | 249      | .000 |
|           | Wilks' Lambda      | .737  | 22.3  | 4.0 | 249      | .000 |
|           | Hotelling's Trace  | .358  | 22.3  | 4.0 | 249      | .000 |
|           | Roy's Largest Root | .358  | 22.3  | 4.0 | 249      | .000 |
| CSTEIQue  | Pillai's Trace     | .034  | 12.19 | 4.0 | 249      | .007 |
|           | Wilks' Lambda      | .97   | 12.19 | 4.0 | 249      | .007 |
|           | Hotelling's Trace  | .035  | 12.19 | 4.0 | 249      | .007 |
|           | Roy's Largest Root | .035  | 12.19 | 4.0 | 249      | .007 |

Note: CSTEIQue = Composite score for Trait Emotional Intelligence Questionnaire

| Criterion Variable | Parameter | В    | Std. Error | Т    | Sig. |
|--------------------|-----------|------|------------|------|------|
| VASOMOTOR          | Intercept | .241 | .818       | .295 | .768 |
|                    | CSTEIQue  | .525 | .216       | 2.44 | .016 |
| PSYCHOSOCIAL       | Intercept | 1.86 | .585       | 3.17 | .002 |
|                    | CSTEIQue  | .303 | .054       | 5.61 | .005 |
| PHYSICAL           | Intercept | 3.16 | .338       | 9.36 | .000 |
|                    | CSTEIQue  | 002  | .089       | 017  | .987 |
| SEXUAL             | Intercept | 6.89 | 2.05       | 3.37 | .001 |
|                    | CSTEIQue  | .477 | .539       | 0.89 | .377 |

Table 4. Parameter estimates for the relationship between EI and QoL.

CSTEIQue = Composite score for trait emotional intelligence questionnaire

Table 5. Moderation effect of marital status on the relationship between emotional intelligence and quality of life (*n* = 249).

| Dimension    | Variables | <i>b</i> -value | BSE   | <i>t</i> -value | 95% CI |        |  |
|--------------|-----------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|--------|--------|--|
| Dimension    | variables | <i>o</i> -value | DOL   |                 | BLLCI  | BULCI  |  |
| Vasomotor    | Constant  | -1.09           | 6.18  | 0.18            | -16.91 | -9.39  |  |
| (Model 1)    | X on Y    | 0.78            | 1.63  | 0.48            | -2.16  | 4.79   |  |
|              | W1 on Y   | -1.21           | 6.33  | -0.19           | -12.04 | 14.79  |  |
|              | W2 on Y   | 2.87            | 6.48  | 0.44            | -8.27  | 18.78  |  |
|              | W3 on Y   | 2.77            | 6.30  | 0.44            | -7.82  | 18.71  |  |
|              | X*W1 on Y | 0.44            | 1.68  | 0.26            | -3.63  | 3.48   |  |
|              | X*W2 on Y | -0.63           | 1.71  | -0.37           | -4.65  | 2.48   |  |
|              | X*W3 on Y | -0.63           | 1.67  | -0.38           | -4.65  | 2.33   |  |
| Psychosocial | Constant  | 1.19            | 2.81  | 0.42            | -3.83  | -8.07  |  |
| (Model 2)    | X on Y    | 0.47            | 0.73  | 0.64            | -1.26  | -1.84  |  |
|              | W1 on Y   | -1.69           | 3.03  | -0.56           | -8.49  | 3.75   |  |
|              | W2 on Y   | 3.75            | 3.11  | 1.21            | -3.16  | 9.13   |  |
|              | W3 on Y   | 0.41            | 2.96  | 0.14            | -6.44  | 5.91   |  |
|              | X*W1 on Y | 0.49            | 0.79  | 0.62            | -0.97  | 2.22   |  |
|              | X*W2 on Y | -0.96           | 0.81  | -1.18           | -2.43  | 0.81   |  |
|              | X*W3 on Y | -0.15           | 0.77  | -0.19           | -1.59  | 1.59   |  |
| Physical     | Constant  | 4.12            | 3.98  | 0.42            | -5.79  | -10.01 |  |
| (Model 3)    | X on Y    | -0.29           | 1.08  | 0.64            | -1.85  | 2.39   |  |
|              | W1 on Y   | -2.66           | 4.02  | -0.56           | -8.65  | 7.37   |  |
|              | W2 on Y   | 0.14            | 4.01  | 1.21            | -5.81  | 10.00  |  |
|              | W3 on Y   | -0.28           | 4.03  | 0.14            | -6.35  | 9.70   |  |
|              | X*W1 on Y | 0.76            | 1.09  | 0.62            | -1.93  | 2.36   |  |
|              | X*W2 on Y | -0.01           | 1.09  | -1.18           | -2.64  | 1.61   |  |
|              | X*W3 on Y | 0.10            | 1.09  | -0.19           | -2.58  | 1.73   |  |
| Sexual       | Constant  | 30.51           | 19.76 | 1.54            | -20.24 | -54.81 |  |
| (Model 4)    | X on Y    | -6.45           | 5.29  | -1.22           | -13.47 | 6.75   |  |
|              | W1 on Y   | -29.00          | 20.03 | -1.45           | -54.39 | 22.59  |  |
|              | W2 on Y   | -19.83          | 20.43 | -0.97           | -46.27 | 31.76  |  |
|              | W3 on Y   | -21.69          | 19.91 | -1.09           | -46.69 | 29.65  |  |
|              | X*W1 on Y | 8.44            | 5.37  | 1.57            | -4.98  | 15.68  |  |
|              | X*W2 on Y | 5.78            | 5.48  | 1.05            | -7.68  | 13.23  |  |
|              | X*W3 on Y | 6.56            | 5.34  | 1.23            | -6.75  | 13.73  |  |

#### Journal of Health and Social Sciences 2021; 6,1:91-102

The Italian Journal for Interdisciplinary Health and Social Development

| Dimension    | ¥7 · 11   | <i>b</i> -value | BSE  | <i>t</i> -value | 95% CI |        |
|--------------|-----------|-----------------|------|-----------------|--------|--------|
|              | Variables |                 |      |                 | BLLCI  | BULCI  |
| Vasomotor    | Constant  | -2.38           | 2.31 | 1.03            | -7.06  | 2.09   |
| (Model 1)    | X on Y    | 1.22            | 0.62 | 1.98            | 0.02   | 2.46   |
|              | W1 on Y   | 2.89            | 2.56 | 1.13            | -2.14  | 8.05   |
|              | W2 on Y   | 4.69            | 2.89 | 1.62            | -0.89  | 10.62  |
|              | X*W1 on Y | -0.76           | 0.68 | -1.11           | -2.12  | 0.58   |
|              | X*W2 on Y | -1.23           | 0.77 | -1.59           | -2.83  | 0.25   |
| Psychosocial | Constant  | -1.29           | 1.95 | -0.67           | -5.15  | -2.69  |
| (Model 2)    | X on Y    | 1.19            | 0.53 | 3.75            | 0.12   | 2.27   |
|              | W1 on Y   | 3.31            | 2.14 | 1.55            | -0.91  | 7.47   |
|              | W2 on Y   | 4.04            | 2.44 | 1.65            | -0.84  | 8.95   |
|              | X*W1 on Y | -0.96           | 0.58 | -1.65           | -2.08  | 0.18   |
|              | X*W2 on Y | -1.11           | 0.66 | -1.69           | -2.44  | 0.19   |
| Physical     | Constant  | 1.73            | 1.10 | 1.57            | -0.25  | -4.11  |
| (Model 3)    | X on Y    | 0.39            | 0.29 | 1.33            | -0.25  | 0.93   |
|              | W1 on Y   | 1.94            | 1.19 | 1.62            | -0.56  | 4.16   |
|              | W2 on Y   | 1.64            | 1.28 | 1.28            | -1.01  | 4.06   |
|              | X*W1 on Y | -0.52           | 0.31 | -1.63           | -1.11  | 0.15   |
|              | X*W2 on Y | -0.46           | 0.34 | -1.32           | -1.10  | 0.26   |
| Sexual       | Constant  | 8.59            | 6.09 | -1.41           | -2.38  | -21.47 |
| (Model 4)    | X on Y    | -0.05           | 1.68 | -0.02           | 3.71   | 2.92   |
|              | W1 on Y   | -0.55           | 1.19 | -0.08           | -14.09 | 11.51  |
|              | W2 on Y   | -3.72           | 1.28 | -0.94           | -18.88 | 11.13  |
|              | X*W1 on Y | 0.31            | 0.31 | 0.17            | -2.91  | 4.10   |
|              | X*W2 on Y | 0.91            | 0.34 | 0.43            | -3.10  | 5.14   |

Table 6. Moderation effect of educational level on the relationship between emotional intelligence and quality of life.

11 Secondary, 12 Tertaary, Comparison group Daar

role of age on the relationship between emotional intelligence and sexual, vasomotor, and physical dimension of the QoL. On the contrary, age was a significant moderator on the psychosocial dimension of QoL, meaning that an increased EI is positively associated with an increased psychosocial dimension of the QoL. Although the women who participated in this study were clustered around the same age, our study confirmed that women in early menopause (40-45 years) have lower levels of emotional intelligence and quality of life compared to women aged 46-54 and 55-60, confirming previous studies showing that the onset of menopause at early age tends to negatively affect QoL [21, 32], whereas the severity of menopausal symptoms decreases while ageing [32]. This study revealed a interaction of age in the relationship between EI and QoL, which is supported by some studies

which found an interaction between emotional intelligence and age [48–50]. Other studies, however, found a negative correlation between age and some aspect of EI [51]. However, many studies on emotional intelligence were conducted in different setting and most of them in western countries.

In conclusion, menopausal women in urban Ghana reported a moderate severity experience of menopausal symptoms with a moderate QoL and a low EI levels. In our sample, EI was found to positively influence the psychosocial aspect of their QoL. Socio-demographic characteristics such as marital status and educational level did not show any moderating role in this relationship. On the contrary, age had a partial moderating role in this relationship. Our community-based study confirms the need that policy makers put in place adequate strategies to improve the QoL of menopausal

| D· ·         | Variables | <i>b</i> -value | BSE  | <i>t</i> -value | 95% CI |       |
|--------------|-----------|-----------------|------|-----------------|--------|-------|
| Dimensions   |           |                 |      |                 | BLLCI  | BULCI |
| Vasomotor    | Constant  | 2.11            | 2.61 | 0.80            | -3.21  | 7.16  |
| (Model 1)    | X on Y    | 0.01            | 0.71 | 0.01            | -1.38  | 1.44  |
|              | W1 on Y   | -2.51           | 2.95 | -0.84           | -8.20  | 3.37  |
|              | W2 on Y   | -1.60           | 2.83 | -0.57           | -7.10  | 4.26  |
|              | X*W1 on Y | 0.67            | 0.79 | 0.84            | -0.90  | 2.24  |
|              | X*W2 on Y | 0.46            | 0.76 | 0.60            | -1.07  | 1.96  |
| Psychosocial | Constant  | 4.14            | 1.49 | 2.79            | 1.23   | 6.99  |
| (Model 2)    | X on Y    | -0.33           | 0.38 | -0.86           | -1.04  | 0.44  |
|              | W1 on Y   | -2.32           | 1.83 | -1.28           | -5.92  | 1.33  |
|              | W2 on Y   | -3.64           | 1.76 | -2.07           | -6.95  | -0.21 |
|              | X*W1 on Y | 0.69            | 0.48 | 1.44            | -0.27  | 1.63  |
|              | X*W2 on Y | 0.92            | 0.45 | 2.03            | 0.02   | 1.78  |
| Physical     | Constant  | 2.25            | 0.91 | 2.46            | 0.57   | 4.16  |
| (Model 3)    | X on Y    | 0.14            | 0.25 | 0.58            | -0.37  | 0.59  |
|              | W1 on Y   | 0.61            | 1.08 | 0.57            | -1.59  | 2.64  |
|              | W2 on Y   | 1.25            | 1.06 | 1.18            | -0.89  | 3.26  |
|              | X*W1 on Y | 0.03            | 0.29 | -0.09           | -0.57  | 0.56  |
|              | X*W2 on Y | 0.25            | 0.28 | -0.88           | -0.78  | 0.33  |
| Sexual       | Constant  | 9.52            | 7.68 | 1.24            | -5.53  | 24.82 |
| (Model 4)    | X on Y    | 1.43            | 2.10 | -0.68           | -5.58  | 2.71  |
|              | W1 on Y   | -6.06           | 8.19 | -0.74           | -22.35 | 9.61  |
|              | W2 on Y   | 1.89            | 7.85 | 0.24            | -13.55 | 17.01 |
|              | X*W1 on Y | 3.00            | 2.24 | 1.34s           | -1.35  | 7.44  |
|              | X*W2 on Y | 0.91            | 2.14 | 0.43            | -3.19  | 5.07  |

Table 7. Moderation effect of age on the relationship between emotional intelligence and quality of life.

W1 – 46-54 years; W2 – 55-61; Comparison group – 40-45 years



Figure 1. Moderation graph for the relationship between emotional intelligence and psychosocial dimension of quality of life.

women in Ghana. Our research had some limitations. The study lacked classification and comparison between premenopausal, perimenopausal and postmenopausal women. Also, majority of participants had a lower educational level, which could have affected their understanding and responses on menopausal symptoms. Generalizability of the study was also limited due to the cross-sectional and community-based design of the research.

#### References

- 1. World Health Organization. Research on the menopause in the 1990s: report of a WHO scientific group. World Health Organization; 1996.
- 2. Soules MR, Sherman S, Parrott E, Rebar E, Santoro N, Utian W, et al. Executive summary: stages of reproductive aging workshop (STRAW). Climacteric. 2001;4(4):267–272.
- Manson JE, Bassuk SS. The menopause transition and postmenopausal hormone therapy. In: Longo DL, Fauci AS, Kasper DL, et al. Harrisons Principles of Internal Medicine. New York, NY: McGraw Hill; 2005.
- 4. Avis NE, Brockwell S, Colvin A. A universal menopausal syndrome? Am J Med. 2005;118(12 Suppl 2):37–46.
- 5. Gold EB, Crawford SL, Avis NE, Crandall CJ, Matthews KA, Waetjen LE, et al. Factors related to age at natural menopause: longitudinal analyses from SWAN. Am J Epidemiol. 2013;178(1):70–83.
- 6. Schwenkhagen A. Hormonal changes in menopause and implications on sexual health. J Sex Med. 2007;(4):220–226.
- 7. Anderson E, Hamburger S, Liu JH, Rebar RW. Characteristics of menopausal women seeking assistance. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1987;156(2):428–433.
- 8. Yazdkhasti M, Simbar M, Abdi F. Empowerment and coping strategies in menopause women: a review. Iran Red Crescent Med J. 2015;17(3).
- 9. Williams RE, Levine KB, Kalilani L, Lewis J, Clark RV. Menopause-specific questionnaire assessment in US population-based study shows negative impact on health-related quality of life. Maturitas. 2009;62(2):153–159.
- 10. Mohamed HA, Lamadah SM, Zamil LGA. Quality of life among menopausal women. Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol. 2014;(3)3:552–561.
- 11. Sprangers MAG. Quality of life assessment: International perspectives. Qual Life Res. 1995;4:289–290. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02260868.
- 12. Satoh T, Ohashi K. Quality-of-life assessment in community-dwelling, middle-aged, healthy women in Japan. Climacteric. 2005;8(2):146–153.
- 13. Madhukumar S, Gaikwad V, Sudeepa D. A community based study on perceptions about menopausal symptoms and quality of life of post-menopausal women in Bangalore rural. Int J Health Sci Res. 2012;2(3):49–56.
- 14. Women UN. Women and the sustainable development goals (SDGs). [cited 2020 May 27]. Available from: https://www.unwomen.org/en/news/in-focus/women-and-the-sdgs/sdg-3-good-health-well-being.
- 15. Schover LR. Premature ovarian failure and its consequences: vasomotor symptoms, sexuality, and fertility. J Clin Oncol. 2008;26(5):753–758.
- 16. Donati S, Satolli R, Colombo C, Senatore S, Cotichini R, Da Cas R, et al. Informing women on menopause and hormone therapy: Know the Menopause a multidisciplinary project involving local healthcare system. PLoS ONE. 2013;8(12):e85121.
- 17. Di Fabio A, Kenny ME. Promoting well-being: The contribution of emotional intelligence. Front Psychol. 2016;(7):1182. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01182

- 18. Towey M, Bundy C, Cordingley L. Psychological and social interventions in the menopause. Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol. 2006;18(4):413–417. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.gco.0000233936.59425.b0.
- Extremera N, Fernández-Berrocal P. Relation of perceived emotional intelligence and health-related quality of life of middle-aged women. Psychol Rep. 2002;91(1):47–59. https://doi.org/10.2466/ pr0.2002.91.1.47.
- 20. Bauld R, Brown RF. Stress, psychological distress, psychosocial factors, menopause symptoms and physical health in women. Maturitas. 2009;62(2):160–165.
- 21. Nayak G, Kamath A, Kumar P, Rao A. A study of quality of life among perimenopausal women in selected coastal areas of Karnataka, Indian. J Midlife Health. 2012;3(2):71–75. https://doi. org/10.4103/0976-7800.104456.
- 22. Abedzadeh-Kalahroudi M, Taebi M, Sadat Z, Saberi F, Karimian Z. Prevalence and severity of menopausal symptoms and related factors among women 40-60 years in Kashan, Iran. Nurs Midwifery Stud. 2012;1(2):88–93.
- 23. Cohen LS, Soares CN, Joffe H. Diagnosis and management of mood disorders during the menopausal transition. Am J Med. 2005;118(12):93–97.
- 24. Shahbazi S, Hazrati M, Moattari M, Heidari M. Training problem solving skills and its effect on emotional intelligence of nursing students of Shiraz. Iranian J Med Edu. 2012;12(39):67–76.
- 25. Madahi ME, Javidi N, Samadzadeh M. The relationship between emotional intelligence and Marital Status in sample of college students. Procedia Soc Behav Sci. 2013;(84):1317–1320. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. sbspro.2013.06.749.
- 26. Lankashini MS, Lakmali VGD, Lenagala SAK, Liyanage ALRP, Arambepola C. Level of Emotional Intelligence and its association with person-related characteristics among grade 8 students in a suburban setting. Ceylon J Med Sci. 2017;54(1):27–34.
- 27. Karmakar N, Majumdar S, Dasgupta A, Das S. Quality of life among menopausal women: A community-based study in a rural area of West Bengal. J Midlife Health. 2017;8(1):21–27.
- 28. Mahajan BK. Methods in Biostatistics for Medical Students and Research Workers. New Delhi/London/ Philadelpia/Panama: Jaypee Brothers Medical Press; 2006.
- 29. Addae E, Ofosuhene Mensah JK. Associated Climacteric Symptoms and Quality of Life among Middle-Aged Women in Kumasi. Sci J Women Health Care. 2020 Dec; 4(1):7–11.
- 30. Hilditch JR, Lewis J, Peter A, van Maris B, Ross A, Franssen E, et al. A menopause-specific quality of life questionnaire: development and psychometric properties. Maturitas. 1996;24(6):161–175.
- 31. Petrides KV, Furnham A. Trait emotional intelligence: Psychometric investigation with reference to established trait taxonomies. Eur J Pers. 2001;15(6):425–448. https://doi.org/10.1002/per.416.
- 32. Gjelsvik B, Rosvold EO, Straand J, Dalen I, Hunskaar S. Symptom prevalence during menopause and factors associated with symptoms and menopausal age. Results from the Norwegian Hordaland Women's Cohort study. Maturitas. 2011;70(4):383–390.
- 33. Moustafa MF, Ali RR, Saied SF, Taha SM. Impact of menopausal symptoms on quality of life among women's in Qena City. J Nurs Health Sci. 2015;4(2):49–59.
- 34. Nisar N, Sohoo NA. Frequency of menopausal symptoms and their impact on the quality of life of women: a hospital based survey. J Pak Med Assoc. 2009;59(11):752–756.
- 35. Sharma D. Impact of age on emotional intelligence and its components. Int J Res Innov Soc Sci. 2017;1(1):13–20.
- 36. Bar-On R, Parker JDA. The handbook of emotional intelligence: theory, development, assessment, and application at home, school, and in the workplace. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, A Wiley Company; 2000. 528 p.
- Fariselli L, Ghini M, Freedman, J. Age and Emotional intelligence. White paper. Research on Emtional Intelligence; 2008 [cited 2020 May 25]. Available from: https://prodimages.6seconds.org/media/WP\_ EQ\_and\_Age.pdf.

- 38. Stein SJ. Emotional intelligence for dummies. Mississauga, ON: John Wiley & Sons, 2009.
- 39. Singh D. Emotional intelligence at work: A professional guide. New Delhi/Thousand Oaks/London: Sage Publication Inc; 2006.
- 40. Al-Huwailah A. Quality of Life and Emotional Intelligence in a Sample of Kuwait University Students. J Educ Pract. 2017;8(3):180–185.
- 41. Fannin BE. The contributions of emotional intelligence to academic achievement and production. Diss Abstr Int. 2002;62(12-A):4055.
- 42. Farooq A. Effect of Emotional Intelligence on Academic Performnace 2003 (Doctoral dissertation, University of Karachi). [cited 2020 May 10] Available from: http://prr.hec.gov.pk/jspui/bitstre-am/123456789/4256/1/889.pdf.
- 43. Adilogullari I. The teachers level of emotional intelligence some of the demographic variables for investigation. Educ Res Rev. 2011;6(13):786–792.
- 44. Nagar M. Role of demographic factors in emotional intelligence: An empirical study of bank managers. Indian J Commence Manag Stud. 2017;8(3):26–32. https://doi.org/ 10.18843/ijcms/v8i3/04.
- 45. Sharma D, Siddiqui MH. Effect of certain demographic variables on emotional intelligence: An empirical study of university teachers. Int J Adv Educ Res. 2018;3(2):475–480.
- Shukla A, Srivastava R. Examining the effect of emotional intelligence on socio-demographic variable and job stress among retail employees. Cogent Bus Manag. 2016;3(1):1201905. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311 975.2016.1201905.
- 47. Rahim SH, Malik MI. Emotional intelligence & organizational performance: (A case study of banking sector in Pakistan). Int J Bus Manag. 2010;5(10):191.
- 48. Extremera N, Fernández-Berrocal P. Emotional intelligence as predictor of mental, social, and physical health in university students. Span J Psychol. 2006;9(1):45–51.
- 49. Kumar JA, Muniandy B. The Influence of Demographic Profiles on Emotional Intelligence: A Study on Polytechnic Lecturers in Malaysia. Int Online J Edu Sci. 2012;4(1):62–70.
- 50. Palmer BR, Gignac G, Manocha R, Stough C. A psychometric evaluation of the Mayer–Salovey–Caruso emotional intelligence test version 2.0. Intelligence. 2005;33(3):285–305.
- 51. Day AL, Carroll SA. Using an ability-based measure of emotional intelligence to predict individual performance, group performance, and group citizenship behaviours. Pers Individ Dif. 2004;36(6):1443–1458. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869(03)00240-X.